

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,551	07/16/2003		Kunihiro Tsubosaki	DAIN:741	5933	
6160	7590	10/07/2004	•	EXAMINER		
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P.				CLARK, JASMINE JHIHAN B		
1421 PRINCE STREET SUITE 210				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	RIA. VA	22314-2805		2815		

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Cumment	10/619,551	TSUBOSAKI, KUNIHIRO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jasmine J Clark	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  , cause the application to become ABANDONET	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 A</u>	uaust 2004.						
<del>_</del>	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-17 is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1 and 7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 2-6, 8-12 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		ratent Application (PTO-152)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on 08/09/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "..,since the subject matter of all claims 1-17 is sufficiently related that a thorough and complete search for the subject matter of the elected claims should encompass a thorough and complete search for the subject matter of the non-elected claims...". This is not found persuasive because the reason for insisting on restriction as stated in MPEP§ 808 have been clearly met.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Elenius et al. (US 6,441,487 B2).

Elenius shows a structure of a device comprising a semiconductor IC chip 10 provided with an electrode pad 18; an insulating layer 24 of for example polyimide (see column 6, line 45) which is an insulating elastic material; a connecting terminal 28 on an outer surface of the insulating layer; and a conductive post (bottom portion of 28) extending through the insulating layer and connecting the electrode pad of the semiconductor IC chip 10.

Concerning claim 7, wherein the connecting terminal is a solder ball 28, please see Fig. 2.

3. Claims 2-6, and 8-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The applied reference fails to teach and/or suggest the limitations as set forth in above claims.

### References Cited

4. Please also see Farnworth (US 6,147,413), Park et al. (US 6,492,200 B1), Frye et al. (US 5,898,223), Duboz et al. (US 5,726,500), Tsumura (US 5,023,697), Haug et al. (US 5,016,087), Kawashima (US 6,559,540 B2), Edell (US 5,045,151), Harada et al. (US

Art Unit: 2815

5,430,329), Shu (US 5,525,839), and Tsumura (US 5,229,646) regarding a semiconductor chip with an electrode pad.

# Telephone Inquiry Contacts

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jasmine J Clark whose telephone number is (571) 272-1726. The examiner can normally be reached on Flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jjbc/10/01/04

JASMINE CLARK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Ammung be